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FRONTHAUL TECHNOLOGIES

C-RAN proposes radio interface using high-bandwidth media (optical or wireless) between REC and RE.

Sampled RF data is transferred from RE to REC.

Baseband processing is done in REC.

Fronthaul is the connection between RE and REC.

Several fronthaul technologies exist for C-RAN, e.g., Common Public Radio Interface (CPRI), Open Base Station Architecture Initiative (OBSAI) etc.

CPRI is a radio interface developed by several leading telecom vendors for transporting sampled RF data from RE to REC.

Constant-bit-rate (CBR) interface with line options that goes from 614.4 Mbps (option 1) up to 12.16 Gbps (option 9).
RECONFIGURATION IN FRONTHAUL

5G systems aim to achieve flexibility and reconfigurability in both the radio access part and signal processing part

Classified into bandwidth reconfigurability and network reconfigurability

**Bandwidth reconfigurability** : flexible on-the-fly bandwidth allocation to fronthaul links depending on need of RE

Fronthaul can be dimensioned for current traffic rather than peak traffic, saving capacity and network equipment based on traffic profile, antenna capacity, cell size, user level QoE

**Network reconfigurability** : ability to change fronthaul network topology on-the-fly based on requirements of cells

Network can change based on co-ordination scenarios (CoMP), energy-efficiency schemes, etc., thus changing fronthaul topology
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BANDWIDTH RECONFIGURATION - ANTENNA CONFIGURATIONS

CPRI allows rate negotiation to switch to different line rate when the antenna configuration changes.

Antenna reconfiguration on/off (sectors, antenna, cell) can happen depending on the user traffic requirement.

Power saving, interference reduction, frequency reuse etc. are use cases.

LTE cell breathing – adaptive coding (each sector has different coding).

Vendor – cell adaptive (change frequency) 3GPP – each sector different modulation – within a sector different modulation (5Mhz to 20Mhz).

![Antenna Configuration Table]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Antenna configuration</th>
<th>LTE Bandwidth</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10 MHz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2x2 MIMO</td>
<td>1.2288 Gbps (IP rate 75Mbps)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4x2 (4x4) MIMO</td>
<td>2.4576 Gbps (IP rate 150Mbps)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8x2 (8x4, 8x8) MIMO</td>
<td>4.9152 Gbps (IP rate 300Mbps)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Source: CPRI Specification v6.0 (Aug 30, 2013)*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Antennas for MIMO</th>
<th>Sectors</th>
<th>LTE BW (MHz)</th>
<th>IQ DR</th>
<th>CPRI DR</th>
<th>Line Rate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1/2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>10/5</td>
<td></td>
<td>614.4</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1/2/4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>20/10/5</td>
<td></td>
<td>1228.8</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1/2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>10/5</td>
<td></td>
<td>1843.2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2/4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>20/10</td>
<td></td>
<td>2457.6</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 + 1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>20 + 10</td>
<td></td>
<td>3072.0</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1/2</td>
<td>3 + 3</td>
<td>10/5</td>
<td></td>
<td>3686.4</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1/2 + 1</td>
<td>3 + 3 +1</td>
<td>10/5 + 10</td>
<td></td>
<td>4300.8</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4/8</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>20/10</td>
<td></td>
<td>4915.2</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4/8 + 1</td>
<td>20/10+1</td>
<td>5529.6</td>
<td></td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>6144</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>6758.4</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>7372.8</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>7987.2</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>8601.6</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8/16</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>20/10</td>
<td></td>
<td>3216</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
FRONTHAUL STRINGENT REQUIREMENTS AND PROBLEMS

CPRI switching can be very difficult (as it is very highrate)

Need to enable statistical multiplexing by evolving from CBR CPRI to packet-based fronthaul

Fronthaul must be dimensioned for peak traffic rather than current traffic

Stringent performance requirements imposed by CPRI

1) 100us of one way delay – previous study shows this is met
2) 65ns of maximum variation in delay (i.e., jitter) – doubtful
3) up to 10Gbps of throughput per RRH
4) $10^{-12}$ of maximum bit error rate.
## Delay - Mapping CPRI onto 10G Ethernet

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Line rate [Mb/s]</th>
<th>Ethernet packets per radio frame (1250 bytes payload)</th>
<th>Payload gen time [μs]</th>
<th>Switch delay [μs]</th>
<th>Header overhead (for radio frame) [μs]</th>
<th>Header overhead for four sub-frames [μs]</th>
<th>Ethernet overhead for CoE (Round Trip) [μs]</th>
<th>Distance supported [km]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>614.4 (option 1)</td>
<td>615</td>
<td>16.27</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>11.8</td>
<td>4.72</td>
<td>11.44</td>
<td>23.456</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1228.8 (option 2)</td>
<td>1229</td>
<td>8.135</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>23.6</td>
<td>9.44</td>
<td>20.88</td>
<td>22.512</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2457.6 (option 3)</td>
<td>2458</td>
<td>4.067</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>35.4</td>
<td>18.88</td>
<td>39.76</td>
<td>20.624</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3072.0 (option 4)</td>
<td>3073</td>
<td>3.254</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>59.0</td>
<td>23.60</td>
<td>49.20</td>
<td>19.680</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4915.2 (option 5)</td>
<td>4916</td>
<td>2.033</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>94.4</td>
<td>37.76</td>
<td>77.52</td>
<td>16.848</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6144.0 (option 6)</td>
<td>6144</td>
<td>1.627</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>118.0</td>
<td>47.20</td>
<td>96.40</td>
<td>14.960</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Line rate [Mb/s]</strong></td>
<td><strong>Ethernet packets per radio frame (1500 bytes payload)</strong></td>
<td><strong>Payload gen time [μs]</strong></td>
<td><strong>Switch delay [μs]</strong></td>
<td><strong>Header overhead (for radio frame) [μs]</strong></td>
<td><strong>Header overhead for four sub-frames [μs]</strong></td>
<td><strong>Ethernet overhead for CoE (Round Trip) [μs]</strong></td>
<td><strong>Distance supported [km]</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>614.4 (option 1)</td>
<td>512</td>
<td>19.53</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>9.83</td>
<td>3.932</td>
<td>10.264</td>
<td>23.573</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1228.8 (option 2)</td>
<td>1024</td>
<td>9.76</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>19.66</td>
<td>7.864</td>
<td>18.128</td>
<td>22.787</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2457.6 (option 3)</td>
<td>2048</td>
<td>4.88</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>39.32</td>
<td>15.728</td>
<td>33.856</td>
<td>21.214</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3072.0 (option 4)</td>
<td>2560</td>
<td>3.906</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>49.15</td>
<td>19.660</td>
<td>41.720</td>
<td>20.428</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4915.2 (option 5)</td>
<td>4096</td>
<td>2.44</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>78.64</td>
<td>31.456</td>
<td>65.312</td>
<td>18.068</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6144.0 (option 6)</td>
<td>5120</td>
<td>1.953</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>98.30</td>
<td>39.320</td>
<td>81.040</td>
<td>16.496</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
IEEE 802.1 Audio Video Bridging (AVB) Task Group (TG) Started in 2005

Address professional audio, video market

Consumer electronics

Automotive infotainment

AVnu Alliance: associated group for compliance and marketing

- IEEE 802.1 Time-Sensitive Networking (TSN) TG AVB features became interesting for other use cases, e.g. Industrial
- Automotive

AVB was not an appropriate name to cover all use cases

AVB TG was renamed to TSN TG in 2012

Interworking TG and TSN TG were merged in 2015
IEEE 802.1 TSN PROJECTS

- P802.1Qbu – Frame Preemption – ready
- P802.1Qbv – Enhancements for Scheduled Traffic – ready
- P802.1Qcc – Stream Reservation Protocol (SRP) Enhancements and Performance Improvements
- P802.1Qci – Per-Stream Filtering and Policing
- P802.1Qch – Cyclic Queuing and Forwarding
- 802.1Qcj – Auto-attach to PBB services
- P802.1AS-Rev – Timing and Synchronization – Revision
- P802.1CB – Frame Replication and Elimination for Reliability
- P802.1CM – Time-Sensitive Networking for Fronthaul
802.3br Interspersing Express Traffic (Frame Preemption)

Express frames can suspend the transmission of preemptable frames

802.1Qbv – Enhancements for Scheduled Traffic

Transmission from each queue to be scheduled relative to a known timescale

A transmission gate is associated with each queue the state of the gate determines whether or not queued frames can be selected for transmission

Open: queued frames are selected for transmission, (according to the transmission selection algorithm associated with the queue)

Closed: queued frames are not selected for transmission
PROPOSED ARCHITECTURE

L2 Switching fabric

splitter

CPRI/Radio over EPON
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CONCERN OF JITTER IN RECONFIGURABLE CPRI

Delay is met for CPRI over Ethernet

Normal Ethernet - jitter is 400ns in the worst case

Ethernet with preemption - jitter of 410ns in the worst

Ethernet with scheduling does not seem to perform consistently, in most cases, the jitter is completely removed, while in a few cases, it is increased to 1000ns

Ethernet could meet the one-way delay requirement of 100us, but could not meet the jitter requirement of 65ns, with or without any proposed new enhancement

Authors proposed scheduling scheme that reduces jitter – however did not consider reconfiguration

Ethernet with reconfigurable CPRI would have even higher jitter

Scheduled Traffic for Ethernet

Contention based scheduling [1] – poor jitter performance in case of reconfiguration

Equal gap scheme

\[
\frac{3200}{200} = 16 \text{ slots} \\
16 - 1 - 2 - 4 = 9 \\
9/3 = 3 \text{ gap}
\]
Predictive gap scheme

\[ \frac{3200}{200} = 16 \text{ slots} \]

\[ 16 - 1 - 2 - 4 = 9 \]

1\textsuperscript{st} cell – traffic varies a lot (v = 3) \Rightarrow \text{gap} = \frac{3}{4} \times 9 = 7

2\textsuperscript{nd} cell – constant profile (v = 0) = 0

3\textsuperscript{rd} cell – varies slightly (v = 1) = 2
Jitter balancing scheme

\[
\frac{3200}{200} = 16 \text{ slots}
\]

\[
16 - 1 - 2 - 4 = 9
\]

\[
\frac{9}{3} = 3 \text{ gap}
\]

\[
2.5 - 5 - 10
\]

No jitter

\[
10 - 5 - 10
\]

No jitter

Jitter on 2 – shift flow 2 by 2 slots

\[
15 - 5 - 2.5
\]

No more shifting on 2 – we use 3 slot in round robin

\[
17.5 - 5 - 2.5
\]

Next cycle

Jitter on 3 – shift flow 3 by 1 slot

\[
17.5 - 10 - 2.5
\]

Shifted version
3200/200 = 16 slots  
Spacing = 13/3 = 4

2.5 – 5 – 10
Jitter on 1

10 – 5 – 10
Jitter on 2

10 – 5 – 2.5
Jitter on 3

15 – 5 – 2.5
Jitter on 4

17.5 – 5 – 2.5
Jitter on 5

17.5 – 10 – 2.5
Jitter on 6

Shifted version
TIMELINE AND FUTURE WORK

Study performance of CPRI over Ethernet for reconfigurable fronthaul in terms of jitter

Study benefits of proposed/propose scheduling schemes that utilize Ethernet and TSN to make achieve statistical multiplexing

Statistical multiplexing gain vs. jitter

EPON DBA that takes this into consideration
5G

Jitter

TSN