Content Connectivity Against Multilink Failures in Optical Metro Networks: Result Comparison Giap Le: Ph.D. Student, Networks Lab, UC Davis Supervisors: Prof. Mukherjee and Prof. Tornatore Friday, Aug. 23, 2019

1



Outline

- Result comparison of new approach with previous works [1], [2], [3]
- Qualifying Exam (Q.E.) agenda



M. F. Habib, M. Tornatore, and B. Mukherjee, "Fault-tolerant virtual network mapping to provide content connectivity in optical networks," *Proc. IEEE/OSA Opt. Fiber Commun. Conf. (OFC13)*, Mar. 2013.
 A. Hmaity, F. Musumeci, and M. Tornatore, "Survivable virtual network mapping to provide content connectivity against double-link failures," *Proc. 12th Conf. Design of Reliable Comm. Networks (DRCN)*, Mar. 2016.
 E. Modiano and A. Narula-Tam, "Survivable lightpath routing: a new approach to the design of wdm-based networks," *IEEE Journal on Selected Areas in Communications*, vol. 20, no. 4, pp. 800–809, May 2002.

Content Connectivity Against Multilink Failures

• CC-*n* Existence:

Theorem 1. Given $G_P(V_P, E_P)$, $G_L(V_L, E_L)$, and $D \subset V_L$, to find the mapping of G_L over G_P that guarantees CC-n, the following conditions must be satisfied:

- each logical node $s \in V_L D$ has a nodal degree $\delta(s) \ge n + 1$, and
- each physical node i ∈ V_P : i = s has a nodal degree δ(i) ≥ n + 1.

• CC-*n* Enforcement:

Theorem 2. Given $G_P(V_P, E_P)$, $G_L(V_L, E_L)$, $D \subset V_L$, let $\mathcal{P}_n = \{\{P_n^k\} : |\{P_n^k\}| = n, \{P_n^k\} \subset E_P\}$ be the set of all possible combinations of n distinct physical links, and $C_{CC} = \{C_{CC}^l(S_l, V_L - S_l) : S_l \cap D = \emptyset\}$ be the set of logical topology content-connected cutsets where the removal of all logical links in each cutset C_{CC}^l disconnects $G_L(V_L, E_L)$ and divides V_L into two disjoint sets with one set without DCs, the mapping of G_L over G_P is CC-n if and only if

$$\sum_{\substack{ij \in P_n^k \\ st \in C_{CC}^l}} f_{ij}^{st} \leq |C_{CC}^l| - 1, \ \forall P_n^k \in \mathcal{P}_n, \ \forall C_{CC}^l \in C_{CC}.$$



Mathematical Formulation

Inputs and variables

- $G_P(V_P, E_P)$, $G_L(V_L, E_L)$, $n, D, \mathcal{P}_n, C_{CC}$, and f_{ij}^{st} have been introduced in Section II.
- W is the number of wavelengths per physical link.
- F_{ij} is the number of fibers on the physical link ij.

Objective function

$$\min \sum_{ij \in E_P, \ st \in E_L} f_{ij}^{st} \tag{1}$$

Subject to:

$$\sum_{st\in E_L} f_{ij}^{st} \le F_{ij} \times W, \quad \forall ij \in E_P \tag{2}$$

$$\sum_{st\in E_L} f_{ij}^{st} - \sum_{ij} f_{ij}^{st} = \begin{cases} -1 & \text{if } i = s \\ 1 & \text{if } i = t \end{cases}, \tag{2}$$

 $j: ji \in E_P \qquad j: ij \in E_P \qquad \left\{ \begin{array}{c} 0 \quad \text{otherwise} \\ \forall i \in V_P, \forall st \in E_L \end{array} \right. \tag{3}$

$$\sum_{\substack{ij \in P_n^k \\ st \in C_{CC}^l}} f_{ij}^{st} \le |C_{CC}^l| - 1, \ \forall P_n^k \in \mathcal{P}_n, \ \forall C_{CC}^l \in C_{CC}$$
(4)

3

Result Comparison with Previous Works [1]



Protection	Previous work [1]	This work	
NC-1	15	15	
CC-1	17	17	



⁽b) Logical Topology

- In [1], single-link failures are considered.
- In [1], Shared Risk Groups (SRG, deterministic model) are considered.



[1] M. F. Habib, M. Tornatore, and B. Mukherjee, "Fault-tolerant virtual network mapping to provide content connectivity in optical networks," *Proc. IEEE/OSA Opt. Fiber Commun. Conf. (OFC13)*, Mar. 2013.

Result Comparison with Previous Works [2]



Physical Topology



Logical Topologies

Protection	Beta = 0.29		Beta = 0.43	
	[2]	This work	[2]	This work
NC-1	28	NA	48	46
CC-1	42	42	42	46
NC-2	NA	NA	70	NA
CC-2	NA	NA	46	NA
NC-1 + CC-2	NA	NA	46	NA



[2] A. Hmaity, F. Musumeci, and M. Tornatore, "Survivable virtual network mapping to provide content connectivity against double-link failures," *Proc. 12th Conf. Design of Reliable Comm. Networks (DRCN)*, Mar. 2016.

Novelty and Extendable Considerations

- Scalability: In addition to number of constraints and variables, a formal method to analyze complexity is required.
- Better network preparation for disasters by generalizing the CC problem to an arbitrary number of link failures
- Considerations:
 - \checkmark Do we need to consider all possible failure combinations?

✓ Risk probability, SRGs should be included to highlight scenarios NC is not possible.



Dummy Node Solution for the CC Problem

Content Connectivity - ensure reachability of content from any point of a network (end-to-content) even in case of a disaster partitioning the network





Optical Multicast for Effective Content Sync

"Content connectivity" can be realized only if relevant data is replicated in several edge DCs

- Constant and intensive synchronization and backup procedures among edge DCs via optical multicasting (Verification on OPCI network testbed)
- Selection of multicast tree leaves for disaster resiliency How do we determine which nodes receive the synchronization data of the multicast tree?
- *Slicing and multicasting* How to slice network resources for multicast transmissions?
- Verification of optical multicasting with SDN control in Sendai testbed SDN controllers need to monitor transmitted data and to construct multicasting slices considering the locations of edge DCs.





OPCI network testbed @Sendai NICT branch

Qualifying Exam Agenda

Title: Disaster-Resiliency Strategies for Next-Generation Metro Optical Networks

Sections:

- Cover (title, committee)
- Acknowledgements
- Abstract
- Table of content
- List of figures
- List of tables



Sections:

- Chapter 1: Introduction
 - ✓ Why metro optical network resiliency
 - Components and how to provide the resiliency
- Chapter 2: Content Connectivity in Optical Metro Networks
- Chapter 3: Future Work
 - ✓ Short-termed: extend ANTS: delay (propagation and processing) as BW, latency, and resiliency are three important attributes of future networks, shared protection
 - Long-termed: load balance, risk probability, degraded, grooming, diverse capacity and traffic