Network Laboratory – Wireless Research Group

Routing and Channel Assignment in Multi-Hop, Multi-Channel, and Multi-Radio Wireless Mesh Networks

Ph.D. Qualifying Examination Presentation:

Eiman Alotaibi Department of Computer Science University of California, Davis

PhD Qualifying Exam Committee:

Professor Dipak Ghosal (Committee Chair) Professor Biswanath Mukherjee (Research Advisor) Professor Chen-Nee Chuah Professor Charles Martel Professor S. Felix Wu

Outlines



- Chapter 1: Introduction.
- Chapter 2: A Survey on Routing Algorithms for Wireless Networks (submitted to IEEE Communications Surveys & Tutorials).
- Chapter 3: Heuristic Model: A Location-Aware Routing Metric (ALARM) for Multi-Channel, Multi-Radio WMN (published in WCNC 2008).
- Chapter 4: Analytical Model: Interference-Aware Routing in WMN.
- Chapter 5: Ongoing and Future Research.

Introduction



Wireless Networks (WN)



Ad-Hoc WN
 Infrastructure-Based WN





Wireless Mesh Network (WMN)

- Static wireless Mesh Nodes (MN) (like Gateways/Routers/Access Points) & end mobile users.
- MNs equipped with single or multi-radio.
- Links in WMN: single-channel or multi-channel.
- WMN is Multi-hop network.



Gistexay) Access plaint

Wired Backbone

Mech Nod-

Ostana



Wireless Mesh Network (WMN)



- Wireless Mesh Networks has special characteristics:
 - 1. Fixed nodes.
 - 2. Shared wireless media.



12/8/2008



- Long term goal: Establish an enhanced comprehensive practical solution for WMN.
 - Routing
 - Channel Assignment (CA)
 - Network Management (NM)

<u>"Geographical-Based Network Management Solution for WMN"</u>

Routing in WMN

- Routing Algorithm (RA).
- Routing Metric (RM).
- Design balance routing solution.
 - ✓ Simple RM + advanced RA
 - Intelligent (link- aware) RM + Simple RA
- Shortest path routing without considering the channel characteristics is not efficient in WMN.
 Because:
 - 1. It can not exploit the available channel diversity.
 - 2. It does not account the impact of interference.



A Survey on Routing Algorithms for Wireless Networks



Requirements & Tradeoffs



Requirements

- Decentralized
- Self-organized
- Self-healing (dynamic network topology)

Constraints/Tradeoffs

- Bandwidth
- Energy consumption
- Security



Routing Categories



- Geographical
- Geo-Cast
- Multi-Path
- Hierarchal
- Hybrid
- Adaptive

Geographical Routing



- Global Positioning System (GPS) provides location information.
- Uses geographic location of the destination instead of IPs.
- Each node can determine its own location and the source is aware of the destination location.
- There are various approaches, such as <u>Flooding-Based</u>, <u>Planarity</u> (face routing) and <u>Greedy Forwarding</u> (GF).



- Merges Multicasting and Geographical approaches.
- Deliver information to <u>a group</u> of destinations identified by their geographical locations.

Multi-Path Routing



- Allows building and use of multiple paths for routing between a source-destination pair.
- Exploits the resource redundancy and diversity in the underlying network.

• There are four elements to a multi-path routing:

Path discovery
 Path disjointedness
 Traffic distribution strategy
 Path maintenance

Hierarchal Routing



- A self-organization scheme is employed to group network nodes into clusters.
- Each cluster has one or more cluster heads.
- Gateway: can communicate with more than one cluster.
- Inter-cluster routing can be a proactive protocol, while intra-cluster routing can be reactive.
- Advantage depends on depth of nesting and addressing scheme.





- Initially: Establishes routes *proactively.*
- Then: Serves the demand from additionally activated nodes through reactive flooding.



The routing alternatively switches between proactive-based routing and reactive-based routing as needed.

Advantages & Disadvantages



Routing	Advantages	Disadvantages
Geographical / Geo-cast	1. Improves routing performance in Ad-Hoc WN.	 Location's accuracy. Assume that the nodes know their positions.
Multi-Path	1. Fault tolerance, 2. Load balancing, 3. Bandwidth aggregation, 4. Reduced failure delay, 5. Secure.	1. The improvement depends on the availability of disjoint routes between S-D pair.
Hierarchal	 Performs better when node density is high. Supports Scalability. 	 Hierarchy maintenance compromise the performance of the routing protocol. Cluster head may become a bottleneck.
Hybrid/Adaptive	1. Combines the advantages of proactive and of reactive routing.	1. Depends on the amount of traffic and number of active nodes.



Heuristic Model:

A Location-Aware Routing Metric (ALARM) for Multi-Channel, Multi-Radio WMN





In 802.11 protocol, based on CSMA/CA, the interference can be partially avoided using one of the two carrier sensing techniques:

1- Physical Carrier Sensing (PCS) using Clear Channel Assessment (CCA) threshold, or

2- Virtual Carrier Sensing (VCS) using RTS/CTS handshake.





- Using RTS/CTS in 802.11 can avoid interference (collisions caused by Hidden terminals) in the single-hop WMN.
- This is not true when we have multi-hop WMN → Extended Hidden terminal problem.
- Therefore, 802.11 alone can't handle the multi-hop WMN.
- Hence, while using 802.11, an intelligent techniques in routing, channel assignments, etc, need to be deployed.

Background

Hidden terminals:

- Transmitters not within hearing range.
- Transmitter of the interferer is within the interference range of the reference receiver.

Exposed terminals:

- Two transmitter within same hearing, range.
- Transmitter of the interferer is not within the interference range of the reference receiver.
- In 802.11, both hidden and exposed terminal's problems cause throughput degradation.





Definitions & Terminologies



- Transmission Range (Rtx): the distance at which a node can receive (successfully decode) any packet from the transmitter with the presence of noise only.
- Carrier-Sensing (Hearing) Range (R_{cs}): a node will be able to detect an existing transmitter within that range via physical carrier sensing.
- Interference Range (RI): distance at which the signalto-noise-and-Interference-ratio (SNIR) at the receiver is fallen below a certain threshold.
- Transmission range ≤ Sensing range < Interference range.

Definitions & Terminologies





12/8/2008

Interference Types





Interference Types

What's the difference?



Type-2 Interference







The criteria of a good routing metric:

- 1. Link-aware (cross layer solution).
- 2. Considers co-channel links along the same path (Intra-flow interference).
- Captures the external interference from different simultaneous co-channel links off the path (Inter-flow interference).
- 4. Channel spatial reuse.





- Hop count
- Expected Transmission Count (ETX) MIT(2003) [1]
- Expected Transmission Time (ETT) Microsoft (2004) [2]
- Weighted Cumulative Expected Transmission Time (WCETT) - Microsoft (2004) [2]
- And others...



Factors that affect the transmission at any link:





- ALARM doesn't propose CA, but it builds the routing decision based on the different CA.
- ALARM is sensitive to CA.
- ALARM is very sensitive to the location of the interfering links (Type-1/Type-2).



Location

Factor

Path cost metric:

$$ALARM = (1 - \alpha) \sum_{i \in p} ETT_i + \alpha \sum_{i \in p} \{N_i \sum_{j \in S_i} w_{ij}\}$$

$$\int \frac{1}{2R_I} \qquad d_{ij} < R_{cs}$$

$$w_{ij} = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{d_{ij}} & R_{cs} < d_{ij} < R_I \end{cases}$$

$$0 \qquad R_I < d_{ij}$$

- p is the set of all links along path p.
- Si is the set of all co-channel links within carrier sensing or interference range of Lij.
- Ni is the number of active co-channel links of Lij, in other words, Ni is the size of set Si.
- Ri is the Interference range.
- Rcs is the carrier-sensing range.
- dij is the distance between the receiver of Lij and the sender of the interferer.







- w_{ij} is the pair-wise weight given to the interferers of L_{ij} based on the interferer's type and location.
- The value of w_{ij} is not symmetric ($w_{ij} \neq w_{ji}$)
- The value of w_{ij} when the links are within R_{cs} is constant because regardless of the location of the interferer it will block the transmission of other link.
- While this value depends on d_{ij} when the interferers are within R₁ since the packet loss varies based on the value of this distance.



ALARM as a path metric can be re-written link cost metric:

ALARM = $\sum_{v \in p} ALARM_i$

where

$$ALARM_i = (1 - \alpha)ETT_i + \alpha N_i * \sum_{j \in S_i} w_{ij}$$

- This feature gives ALARM the <u>flexibility</u> that is missing in most of the current cross-layer routing metrics.
- The task of <u>finding routing</u> algorithm is much easier.






Example 2: Type-2 Interference Carrier Interference sensing range range ChlCh3 Ch2Chl Chl R2 R1 R3 R4 10m 30m i = 1, 2, **3**, 4 and 5. For link3: - Co-channel links are {link1, link5}. - Link1 is out of interference range of link3 $-S_3 = \{link_5\}, j = 1$ $- d_{31} = 10m, N_{3} = |S_3| = 1, W_{31} =$ 12/8/2008 40



A Location-Aware Routing Metric



$$WCETT = (1 - \beta) \sum_{i=1}^{n} ETT_i + \beta \max_{1 \le j \le k} X_j$$

- First term is equivalent at both ALARM and WCETT.
- ALARM is link-based metric, WCETT is a path-based metric.
- Because ALARM utilizes the ranges concept, it satisfies the following criteria:
 - 1. Link-aware.
 - 2. Considers the intra-flow interference.
 - 3. Captures the inter-flow interference.
 - 4. Considers channel spatial reuse.



Simulation setup

- Different CA for each single-path multiple-hop chain network.
- Examine 122 different cases varies in:
 - 1. Channel assignment
 - 2. Link data rates
 - 3. Total number of channels
 - 4. Interference range
 - 5. Carrier-sensing range
 - 6. Number of paths running simultaneously





• Results:





- ALARM of single chain topology has 6 non-best path selection (second best selection) out of 100 cases with 4% maximum difference.
- WCETT of single chain topology has 35 non-best path selection (good and worst selection) out of 100 cases with 23% maximum difference.
- ALARM errors happened when comparing similar CA, the location factor is constant.
- When the same CA is used, only ETT controls the method of path selection.



Evaluation: 4x4-Grid Topology

- 16 Nodes.
- 2 (802.11a) radios/node.
- 4 Channels.





• Results:



Analytical Model: Interference-Aware Routing in WMN



- Routing performance is sensitive to the selection of the differential-capacity links:
 - Routing is challenging.
- In WMN, link capacity is influenced by the interference/traffic:
 - Link capacity is dynamic.
- Result: achieving optimal routing is very hard.





 Modeling link capacity in 802.11 passed through different stages of development in the literature:

Consider interference:

- \rightarrow Single interferer only [3].
- \rightarrow Allow no interfering link to be active [4].
- \rightarrow Consider one interference domain e.g. Sensing range (ignore hidden node problem) [5].
- → Consider one collision domain e.g. Interference range[6]. → Consider two ranges (sensing/interference) based on physical details[7].

Modeling Approach



- PCS will be used instead of RTS/CTS because:
 - Can't avoid the multi-hop hidden nodes problem.
 - > Adds more overhead traffic.
- Consider multiple interferers.
- Capture the different effects from carrier-sensing range and interference range.
- Using only high-level link-aware parameters (Location/Distance) to model the interference-aware link capacity.
- Consider Exposed/Hidden terminal problems.
- Multi-path routing.

Solve the analytical model in MILP environment.

Analytical Model: Input



Network topology, channel assignment, and load (traffic) matrix.

х	set of all nodes in the network.	
Ν	total number of nodes in the network $(N = \aleph)$.	
A_i	node n_i 's adjacent set of nodes.	
C_{ij}^{max}	is the maximum (initial) link capacity of link L_{ij} .	
CS_{ij}	set of links $\in R_{cs}^{ij}$ of L_{ij} and assigned to the same channel of L_{ij} .	
I_{ij}	set of links $\in R_I^{ij}$ of L_{ij} and assigned to the same channel of L_{ij} .	
Dcs_{ij}^{xy}	(binary) = 1, when L_{xy} is within R_{cs}^{ij} .	
DI_{ij}^{xy}	(binary) = 1, when L_{xy} is within R_I^{ij} and outside R_{cs}^{ij} .	
d_{ij}	distance between node n_i and node n_j .	
d_{ij}^{max}	maximum distance at which other co-channel links can not interfere with $L_{ij} (= R_I^{ij})$.	
F^{sd}	traffic from source node n_s to destination node n_d .	
12/8/20	08 52	

Analytical Model: Variables



C_{ij}	link capacity of L_{ij} .	
$\alpha_{ij}^{sd,f}$	(binary) = 1, when $L_{ij} \in path(p_{sd})$ and the f^{th} unit of F^{sd} is carried from n_s to n_d by L_{ij} .	
β_{ij}^{xy}	(binary) = 1, when there is traffic carried on both links, L_{ij} and L_{xy} simultaneously.	
S^{sd}	succeeded transmitted flow from node n_s to node n_d .	

Analytical Model



Objective Function:

Maximize Throughput {

Traffic Constraint:

$$2*\beta_{ij}^{xy} = \alpha_{ij}^{sd,f} + \alpha_{xy}^{zw,f}$$

Maximize
$$(\sum_{\forall (s,d)} S^{sd}).$$



Analytical Model



Routing Constraints:









Capacity Constraints:

→ General case (Multiple interferers exist):_

$$C_{ij} = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{total - num - of - Type - 1 - interfers} * C_{ij}^{max} \\ avg[\frac{d_{xj}}{d_{ij}^{max}}] * C_{ij}^{max} \\ C_{ij}^{max} \end{cases}$$

Maximize $(\sum_{\forall (s,d)} S^{sd})$ subject to,

• Flow constraint:

$$2 * \beta_{ij}^{xy} \le \alpha_{ij}^{sd,f} + \alpha_{xy}^{zw,f}, \,\forall L_{ij} \in \xi, \forall L_{xy} \in CS_{ij} \cup I_{ij}$$

• Loop-less constraint:

$$\alpha_{ij}^{sd,f} + \alpha_{ji}^{sd,f} \leq 1, \forall f$$

• Routing constraints:

$$\begin{split} S^{sd} &= \frac{PS}{T} * \sum_{\forall j \in A_s} (\sum_{\forall f} \alpha_{sj}^{sd,f}), \forall (s,d) \\ \sum_{\forall i \in A_k} (\sum_{\forall f} \alpha_{ik}^{sd,f}) &= \sum_{\forall j \in A_k} (\sum_{\forall f} \alpha_{kj}^{sd,f}), \forall k \in \aleph, \forall (s,d) \\ S^{sd} &= \frac{PS}{T} * \sum_{\forall i \in A_d} (\sum_{\forall f} \alpha_{id}^{sd,f}), \forall (s,d) \end{split}$$

• Capacity constraints:

$$\frac{PS}{T} * \sum_{\forall (s,d)} \sum_{\forall f} \alpha_{ij}^{sd,f} \leq C_{ij}, \forall L_{ij}, \forall (s,d)$$
$$C_{ij} = \left\{ \frac{1}{1+|CS_{ij}|} \right\} * \left\{ \frac{\epsilon + \sum_{\forall Lxy \in I_{ij}} (\frac{d_{xj}}{d_{ij}^{max}} * \beta_{ij}^{xy} + (1-\beta_{ij}^{xy}))}{\epsilon + |I_{ij}|} \right\} * C_{ij}^{max}$$

• Traffic constraint:

$$S^{sd} \le F^{sd}, \forall (s, d)$$





4x4-Grid WMN: (Multi-channel)

- OSPF.

Evaluation

- ALARM.
- WCETT.

Practical (Kemper Hall) WMN: (Single Channel) - OSPF.



Example 1: 4x4-Grid WMN



Example 1: 4x4-Grid WMN







Example 2: Kemper Hall WMN





12/8/2008

Example 2: Kemper Hall WMN



Conclusion

- This model captures the influence of different interferers in WMN by modeling the link capacity in the presence of any given number of interferer.
- There are mainly on two types of interferers:
 - Type-1: Interferers within the carrier-sensing range.
 - Type-2: Interferers within the interference range.
- Our analytical routing model performs better than the noninterference-aware (OSPF) in single channel as well as multichannel wireless environment.
- Performance variance in multi-channel WMN is greater than the variance between the MILP performance and OSPF performance in single channel WMN.



Ongoing and Future Research





Evaluation using Test-Bed



Channel Assignment



- Typical WMN (Single-radio/Single-channel).
 Interference.
- 802.11 support non-overlapping Multichannel (Single-radio/Multi-channel).
 Dynamic switching overhead time.
- (Multi-radio/Multi-channel). Channel assignment issue.

Channel Assignment



- Static (Fixed) [8]/Dynamic [9] [10]/Hybrid [11] [12].
- Routing & CA: Independent or Jointly solved [11] [13].
- Interference-aware [11] / Traffic-aware [8].
- Geographical-based: Cellular Network and WLAN [14].

Network Management



- Topology Management.
- NM Architecture

Centralized	De-centralized
Deploy controller-based	Controller functions at the
WLAN that handles mobility	wireless AP's controllers or
tasks.	at the APs themselves.





- [1] D. De Couto, D. Aguayo, J. Bicket, and R. Morris, ``A High throughput Path Metric for multi-hop wireless routing," Proc. Annual ACM/IEEE International Conference on Mobile Computing and Networking (MobiCom'03), pp. 134-146, 2003. (MIT).
- [2] R. Draves, J. Padhye, and B. Zill, ``Routing in Multi-Radio Multi-Hop Wireless Mesh Networks," Proc. Annual ACM/IEEE International Conference on Mobile Computing and Networking (MobiCom'04), pp. 114-128, 2004. (Microsoft).
- [3] C. Reis, R. Mahajan, M. Rodrig, D. Wetherall, and J. Zahorjan, "Measurement-Based Models of Delivery and Interference in Static Wireless Networks," Proc. Annual ACM Special Interest Group on Data Communications (SIGCOMM'06), vol. 36, no. 4, pp. 51-62, 2006. (UW).
- [4] K. Jain, J. Padhye, V. Padmanabhan, and L. Qiu, "Impact of Interference on Multihop wireless Networks Performance," Proc. Annual ACM/IEEE International Conference on Mobile Computing and Networking (MobiCom'03), Sept. 2003. (Microsoft).
- [5] P. C. Ng and S. C. Liew, "Offered Load Control in IEEE 802.11 Multi-hop Ad-hoc Networks," Proc. First IEEE International Conference on Mobile Ad-hoc and Sensor System (MASS'04), pp. 80-89, Nov. 2004. (Chinese U.).





- [6] J.-H. Huang, L.-C. Wang, and C.-J. Chang, "Coverage and Capacity of A Wireless Mesh Network," 2005." Proc. International Conference on Wireless Networks, Communications and Mobile Computing, vol. 1, pp. 458-463, June 2005. (Taiwan).
- [7] A. Kashyap, S. Ganguly, and S. R. Das, "A Measurement-Based Approach to Modeling Link Capacity in 802.11-based Wireless Networks," Proc. Annual ACM/IEEE International Conference on Mobile Computing and Networking (MobiCom'07), Sept. 2007. (Stony Brook U.).
- [8] A. Raniwala, K. Gopalan, and T. Chiueh, ``Centralized Channel Assignment and Routing Algorithms for Multichannel Wireless Mesh Networks," ACM Mobile Computer and Communication Review, pp. 50-65, April 2004. (Stony brook U.).
- [9] J. So and N. Vaidya, ``Multi-Channel MAC for Ad-Hoc Networks: Handling Multi-Channel Hidden Terminals using a Single Transceiver," Proc. ACM Fifth Anual International Symposium on Mobile and Ad-Hoc Networking & Computing (MobiHOC'04), pp. 222-233, 2004. (UIUC).
- [10] P. Bahl, R. Chandra, and J. Dunagan, ``SSCH: Slotted Seeded Channel Hopping for Capacity Improve- ment in IEEE 802.11 Ad-Hoc Wireless Networks," Proc. Annual ACM/IEEE International Conference on Mobile Computing and Networking (MobiCom'04), pp. 216-30, 2004. (Microsoft and Cornell U.).




- [11] P. Kyasanur and N. Vaidya, \Routing and Interface Assignment in Multi-Channel Multi-Interface Wireless Networks," Proc. IEEE Conference Wireless Communication and Network, pp. 2051-56, 2005. (UIUC).
- [12] K. N. Ramachandran, E. M. Belding, K. C. Almeroth, M. M. Buddhikot, Interference-Aware Chan- nel Assignment in Multi-Radio Wireless Mesh Networks," Proc. 25th Annual Joint Conference of the IEEE Computer and Communications Societies (INFOCOM'06), pp. 1-12, April 2006. (UCSB).
- [13] A. Raniwala and T. Chiueh, \Architecture and algorithms for an IEEE 802.11based multi-channel wireless mesh network," Proc. Annual Joint Conference of the IEEE Computer and Communications Societies (INFOCOM'05), 2005. (Stony brook U.).
- [14] J. G. Lim, C. T. Chou, and S. Jha, ``Non-Cooperative Coexistence of Co-located Independent W'ireless Mesh Networks," Proc. IEEE International Conference in Mobile Ad-hoc and Sensor Systems (MASS'07), pp. 1-9, 2007. (Australian group).





Thank you.